

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,586	QUAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy D. Collins	3643	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after final

(1) Timothy D. Collins.

(3) _____.

(2) Evelyn M. Sommer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006

Time: 11am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102 as stated previously

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

Cessna Citation as cited previously

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A clear picture of the interior of a Cessna Citation X was described as attached to this action, and it was decided that an examiner's amendment would be made to include the limitations of the seats being configured such that they are incontact with each other and also the table has a sliding leg. The examiner and attorney agreed to also cancel the withdrawn claims. .